

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/884,783 | 06/19/2001 | Harand Gaspar | F1002/2012P | 2343 | |
| 7590 01/04/2005 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| Kelly K Kordzik | | | LE, VI | LE, VIET Q | |
| Winstead Sechre | est & Minick PC | | | D. B5D \ W. D. D D D | |
| POBox 50784 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 1201 Main Street | | | 2667 | 2667 | |
| Dallas, TX 75250-0784 | | | DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005 | DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , |
|----|
| .1 |
| 枛 |
| v |

| | I A 11 41 A1 | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summer | 09/884,783 | GASPAR ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Viet Q. Le | 2667 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 O | <u>ctober 2004</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak k$ | Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | |
| , | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | on No. | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | • • • • | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | - | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | d. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | ite | | | | |
| B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/884,783

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jason Alexander Trachewsky et al. (US 2001/0055311 A1), hereafter referred to as Trachewsky.

Regarding claim 1 and 6, Trachewsky disclosed a method for deferring transmission of a data packet over a home network that includes a host media access controller program and a media access controller (Trachewsky described a MAC controller comprising of a PHY, a MAC controller connected to computers executing programs. See fig. 4a), the method comprising the steps of: transmitting a signal from the host media access controller program to the media access controller (MAC) to transmit a data packet (Trachewsky described the carrier sense multiple access / collision detect (CSMA/CD) media access control method that he implemented in his invention MAC controller. See Fig. 30; See paragraphs 160-161); asserting a transmit

Art Unit: 2667

start signal from the MAC (Trachewsky described the carrier sense multiple access / collision detect (CSMA/CD) media access control method that he implemented in his invention MAC controller. See Fig. 30; See paragraphs 160-161); receiving a carrier sense signal on the MAC indicating activity on a transmission medium, and delaying assertion of a final transmit signal when both the transmit start signal and the carrier sense signal are active, thereby avoiding packet collisions (Trachewsky described the carrier sense multiple access / collision detect (CSMA/CD) media access control method that he implemented in his invention MAC controller. See Fig. 30; See paragraphs 160-161).

Regarding claim 2 and 7, Trachewsky described a method further including the steps of: verifying on the host media access controller program that the MAC has deferred the transmit start signal by forwarding the carrier sense signal to the host media access controller program (Trachewsky described the carrier sense multiple access / collision detect (CSMA/CD) media access control method that he implemented in his invention MAC controller. See Fig. 30; See paragraphs 160-161), evaluating the carrier sense and the transmit start signal, and determining that the final transmit signal has not been not asserted if both the carrier sense and the transmit start signal are active (Trachewsky described the carrier sense multiple access / collision detect (CSMA/CD) media access control method that he implemented in his invention MAC controller. See Fig. 30; See paragraphs 160-161).

Regarding claim 3, 8 and 10, Trachewsky described a method further including the step of asserting the final transmit signal when the transmit start signal is active and

Application/Control Number: 09/884,783

Art Unit: 2667

the carrier sense signal is inactive (Trachewsky described the carrier sense multiple access / collision detect (CSMA/CD) media access control method that he implemented in his invention MAC controller. See Fig. 30; See paragraphs 160-161).

Regarding claim 4 and 11, Trachewsky disclosed a telephone wire as the transmission medium (Trachewsky described the MAC controller connected to other home networking devices through premises UTP telephone wiring. See paragraph 115).

Regarding claim 5 and 12, Trachewsky described a method further including the step of providing a physical layer between the MAC and the telephone wire and transmitting the carrier sense signal and the final transmit signal between the MAC and the physical layer (See fig. 4a).

Regarding claim 9, Trachewsky described a method for testing transmission deferral of a data packet over a home network that includes a host media access controller program and a media access controller (See fig. 4a), the method comprising the steps of: transmitting a signal from the host media access controller program to the media access controller (MAC) to transmit a data packet, asserting a transmit start signal from the MAC; receiving a carrier sense signal on the MAC indicating activity on a transmission medium, forwarding the carrier sense signal to the host media access controller program, delaying assertion of a final transmit signal when both the transmit start signal and the carrier sense signal are active, verifying on the host media access controller program that the MAC has deferred the transmit start signal by evaluating the carrier sense and the transmit start signal, and determining that the final transmit signal has not been asserted if both the carrier sense and the transmit start signal are active,

Application/Control Number: 09/884,783 Page 5

Art Unit: 2667

thereby avoiding packet collisions (Trachewsky described the carrier sense multiple access / collision detect (CSMA/CD) media access control method that he implemented in his invention MAC controller. See Fig. 30; See paragraphs 160-161).

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a) Eric Ojard et al. (U.S. 6,130,894), Off line broadband network interface.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Q. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2246. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM -5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

νl

[/] RICKY NGO RIMARY EXAMINER